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Introduction

Purpose

This Quality Manual contains all the requirements that our laboratory uses to demonstrate our quality management system, technical competence, and valid results.

Section 4 specifies how we demonstrate sound management and maintain client satisfaction.

Section 5 specifies how we demonstrate technical competence in our laboratory.

In addition, this Quality Manual outlines how we meet:

- ISO 17025
- ISO 9001

All personnel are to take an active role in establishing, implementing, and maintaining our quality management program. We do not separate quality from our daily business. Quality cannot be something that we do just to pass audits. Quality is integrated into every facet of the decision-making process in the management of our laboratory and the science that we practice.

Distribution List

The Quality Manager maintains a distribution list for this Quality Manual.

Revision History

Revision 1 - The Table of Contents was updated to reflect the addition of an Employee List in Section 1. 11-24-09
1. Scope

This Quality Manual facilitates:

- recognition of technical competence for standardized methods, non-routine methods, and laboratory-developed methods we perform
- inspection and product certification capabilities and/or services we provide
- total quality for our administrative and technical systems
- audits by clients, regulatory authorities and accreditation bodies
- meeting the requirements of ISO 17025 and ISO 9001
- client satisfaction
## Employee List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bennett, David</td>
<td>DMB</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burt, Kira</td>
<td>KB</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butcher, Ben</td>
<td>BB</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter, Nadine</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceneil, Kristina</td>
<td>KC</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crist, Donald</td>
<td>DRA</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis, Spencer</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deboer, Todd</td>
<td>TD</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon, Cathy</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubisch, Paul</td>
<td>DPL</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggert, Randall</td>
<td>RMS (rand)</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estes-Peterson, Brian</td>
<td>KJ</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray, Alan</td>
<td>AJ</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hengst, Wayne</td>
<td>WHT</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibbs, James</td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoefer, Zach</td>
<td>2H</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huey, Matthew</td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koch, Sandra</td>
<td>SK</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Employee List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lester, Don</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGovern, Brian</td>
<td>M M M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore, Michael</td>
<td>M M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray, Lynn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter, Chris</td>
<td>C P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puccinelli, Drew</td>
<td>D P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ransom, Triffie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehl, David</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberts, Nancy</td>
<td>N R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salyers, Jordyn</td>
<td>J AS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schiewe, Curliss</td>
<td>C J S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Justin</td>
<td>J S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spohn, David</td>
<td>D S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stutzman, Matt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright, Brenda</td>
<td>B W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young, Christi</td>
<td>C Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Revision History

Revision 1 - This section was updated to reflect the addition of an Employee List. 11-24-09

Revision 2 - This section was updated to reflect the current Employee List. 03-16-12

Revision 3 - This section was updated to reflect the current Employee List. 11-14-13
2. Normative References

Reference List

ISO/IEC 17000, Conformity assessment – Vocabulary and general principles

VIM, International vocabulary of basic and general terms in metrology, issued by BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP and OIML.


Cross-references

This manual is numerically aligned with the international standard ISO 17025. It is expected that this will prove useful during accreditation audits and expedite the process.

Furthermore, each section cross-references the ISO 9001 standard to assist the laboratory during the ISO 9001 registration process (if applicable).

For ease of use, each section starts with a brief summation of what the section addresses and a listing of the quality terminology and key words.
3. Terms and Definitions

For the purposes of this manual, the following documents and their corresponding definitions apply: ISO/IEC 17000; ISO/IEC Guide 30; ISO Council Committee on Conformity Assessment (CASCO); ISO 9000; ISO 5725-1; ISO 17025; AOAC; and International Vocabulary of Basic and General Terms in Metrology (VIM).

**Accreditation** – formal recognition of a laboratory by an independent science-based organization that the laboratory is competent to perform specific tests (CASCO).
4.1 Organization

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you our laboratory has:

1. Appointed a Quality Manager
2. Organized the workforce to achieve quality
3. Provided adequate resources to ensure quality

Key Words

- Quality Manager
- Organizational Chart
- Authority
- Resources
- Confidential Information
- Proprietary Rights
- Responsibilities
- Undue Pressure

Cross-references

- ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.1
- ISO 9001:2000 Section 4.1, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4.1, 5.5.1, 5.5.2, 5.5.3, 6.1, 6.2.1, 6.2.2, 6.3.1, 7.1, 7.5.4
4.1.1 Legal Identification / Registration

Analytical Laboratories, Inc.
1804 N. 33rd St., Boise, ID. 83703
Phone: (208) 342-5515   Fax: (208) 342-5591
Website: http://www.analyticallaboratories.com

4.1.2 Laboratory Requirements

The departments of Analytical Laboratories, Inc. have been organized to satisfy the needs of the customer and regulatory authorities and to meet the international standards ISO 17025 and ISO 9001. Analytical Laboratories, Inc. is composed of the following departments:

- Bacteria (Microbiology)
- Biomonitoring (WETT)
- Environmental Chemistry
- Food Chemistry
- Metals
- Nutrients
- Organics
- Services
- Fuels

4.1.3 Scope of Management System

The management system covers activities in the laboratory’s permanent facility. The fields of activities include:

- Agricultural & Food
- Drinking Water
- Environmental
- Fuels & Lube Oils
- Soils
- Solids / Sludge / Waste
- Wastewater

The laboratory’s scope of tests is listed in the Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS).

4.1.4 Potential Conflicts of Interest
Not applicable - the laboratory is not part of a larger organization.

4.1.5 Organization

A) Management and Technical Personnel

Policy:
The laboratory managerial and technical personnel, irrespective of other responsibilities, have the necessary authority and resources needed to meet the mandates assigned to their areas.

Details:
Responsibilities are detailed in 4.1.5 (F).

Departures from the organizational and management policies in this manual can only be approved by the Board of Directors.

Departures from quality management system procedures can only be approved by the Director and Quality Manager.

Departures from test methods or technical standard operating procedures (SOPs) can only be approved by the Director, Quality Manager, and area Supervisors.

See also section 5.2.

B) Undue Pressure

Policy:
Management and personnel are to be free from any undue internal and external commercial, financial and other pressures that may adversely affect the quality of their work. The integrity of test results is the responsibility of all personnel. Management ensures that employees are never instructed or forced to alter or falsify data.

Details:
The following list provides some guidelines on how employees avoid conflict of interest situations. Employees shall not:

- falsify records, prepare fraudulent reports, or make false claims
- seek or use privileged or confidential company information, or data from any customer, for any purpose beyond the scope of employment
C) Customer Confidentiality

Policy:
It is the policy of our laboratory to protect the confidential information and proprietary rights of our customer including the electronic storage and transmission of results.

Details and Procedures:
All employees sign an Employee Confidentiality Agreement. The signed agreement is maintained by the Quality Manager.

All employees are required to utilize a username and password to access the Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) which contains the proprietary and confidential information for all of Analytical Laboratories clients.

Test results are only released to the customer. Release to someone other than the customer requires the express permission of the customer, except when the situation contravenes Idaho or Federal Legislation and the results must be provided to the appropriate agency. The release of test results to anyone other than the customer requires the permission of management. Laboratory reports are reviewed for accuracy prior to release.
D) Operational Integrity

Policy:
The laboratory will avoid involvement in any activities that would diminish confidence in its competence, impartiality, judgment, or operational integrity.

Details and Procedures:
To ensure confidence in laboratory operations a formal quality assurance program is implemented. Technical competence is ensured through check sample programs. Impartiality is assessed through audits and approvals. Judgment is ensured through the hiring of qualified personnel and by continuously refining, upgrading, and improving his or her skills. Operational integrity is reviewed by management on a regular basis at management review meetings to ensure continued suitability and effectiveness of laboratory policies and procedures. Any problems are acted on immediately through corrective action procedures.

E) Organizational Structure

Policy:
The organization and management structure of the laboratory and the relationships between management, technical operations, support services, and the quality management system is defined through the aid of an organizational chart.

Details:
Senior management keeps the most current organizational chart on file. An organizational chart is available with this manual (upon request) as a reference record and is considered the official record on the date it is marked.

F) Responsibility and Authority

Director
- develops primary goals, operating plans, policies, and short and long range objectives for the laboratory; implements these following Board of Directors’ approval
- directs and coordinates activities to achieve profit and return on capital
- establishes organizational structure and delegates authority to subordinates
- leads the laboratory towards objectives, meets with and advises other executives, and reviews results of business operations
- ensures laboratory adherence and compliance with ISO/IEC 17025
- determines action plans to meet the needs of stakeholders
> represents organization to major customers, government agencies, shareholders, and the public

**Quality Manager**
> ensures that the Quality Management System is established, implemented and maintained in accordance with the ISO 9001 and ISO 17025 standards
> manages the internal audit program
> coordinates laboratory accreditation activities
> handles the maintenance and distribution of the Quality Manual and associated documents
> maintains a master list of current versions of quality documentation
> trains personnel on Quality Management System activities
> monitors the Quality Management System
> reports on the performance of the Quality Management System to senior management for review and as a basis for improvement of the Quality Management System
> supervises the laboratory’s inter-laboratory proficiency testing program
> maintains current job descriptions

**Supervisors**
> is/are knowledgeable of the scope of all processes under their supervision
> provides the necessary resources (personnel, equipment, supplies) for the quality assurance program, in order to ensure confidence in the laboratory’s results
> ensures equipment is maintained and calibrated, reporting all deficiencies (e.g., equipment malfunctions) in the appropriate manner
> ensures personnel are trained for the duties they perform - includes substitutes when regular personnel are absent
> maintains records and manages all aspects of testing activities
> ensures laboratory adherence and compliance with ISO/IEC 17025
> responds to customer inquiries and provides professional advice
> hires personnel
> orientates new personnel
> determines technical training needs of personnel
> conducts employee performance reviews
> schedules vacation and coverage
> ensures that all health and safety regulations are followed
> ensures that all Human Rights Legislation are complied with
> oversees quality, standard pricing, customized quotations, and invoicing for tests performed
> prioritizes workload
> facilitates operational concerns in their area
ensures accurate and consistent testing procedures through the validation of all current procedures and by developing, validating and implementing new procedures
coordinates purchasing requests
ensures that the operational needs are within budget and advising management of any discrepancies

Analyst
- maintains records of all quality activities as documented in SOPs and test methods
- handles samples and performing analyses according to SOPs and test methods
- writes SOPs and test methods
- signs reports when designated with signing authority
- maintains and calibrates equipment
- reports deficiencies or malfunction to the supervisor
- identifies and records nonconformities on Corrective Action Requests
- identifies and recording potential nonconformities on Preventive Action Requests
- corrects nonconformities and potential nonconformities
- improves laboratory and/or quality activities on a continuous basis

Customer Representatives and Administrative Personnel
- performs work functions and keeps records as per approved SOPs and/or laboratory policies
- writes SOPs
- identifies and records nonconformities on Corrective Action Requests
- identifies and records potential nonconformities on Preventive Action Requests
- corrects nonconformities and potential nonconformities
- improves laboratory and/or quality activities on a continuous basis

G) Laboratory Supervision / Technical Managers

Policy:
Adequate supervision is provided in each area of the laboratory for all testing and calibration personnel, including trainees, by persons familiar with the methods and procedures. They have overall responsibility for the technical operations and the provision of resources needed to ensure the required quality of laboratory operations.

Details:
Adequate supervision is ensured through designated supervisors as well as through documentation such as this Quality Manual, test methods and SOPs. A thorough orientation and training program is adhered to for all new employees. Ongoing training
for regular personnel is required. While the technical manager may at times delegate duties to other personnel, the technical manager is accountable for any nonconforming activities.

H) Quality Manager

Policy:
The Quality Manager is appointed by the highest level of management. The Quality Manager, who, irrespective of other duties and responsibilities, has defined responsibility and authority for ensuring that the management system related to quality is implemented and followed. The Quality Manager has direct access to the highest level of management where decisions are taken on laboratory policy or resources.

Details:
This statement notifies all laboratory personnel that Brian McGovern is the Quality Manager and James Hibbs is the Deputy Quality Manager as authorized below by the Director. Any change in this position requires the reissue of this section to all holders of controlled copies of the Quality Manual. The following signature also serves as approval for this Quality Manual and affirms senior management’s commitment to the policies and procedures set forth in this manual.

__________________________
Michael D. Moore
Laboratory Director

I) Managerial Substitutions

Policy:
Deputies for key personnel are appointed to fulfill the key personnel’s duties in their absence.

Details:
In the absence of the Quality Manager, the Deputy Quality Manager will assume his/her responsibilities.

In the absence of the Area Supervisor (Technical Manager), the senior analyst for that department will assume his/her responsibilities.
Management is responsible for ensuring that current and/or increased workload requirements are met. This includes making adjustments as a result of employee absence. Only fully trained employees are utilized to fulfill the duties of personnel who are absent. If sufficient human resources are not available, management will identify the best possible solution to meet operational requirements.

J) Awareness

Policy:
Management ensures that its personnel are aware of the relevance and importance of their activities and how they contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the management system.

Details:
Supervisors review the details of each employee’s job description with the appropriate employee and how the overall Quality Policy Statement (Section 4.2.2) relates to their activities to achieve the objectives of the management system.

4.1.6 Communication Processes

Policy and Details:
Top management ensures that appropriate communication processes are established within the laboratory and that communication takes place regarding the effectiveness of the management system.

Revision History

Revision 1 - Procedures were added to section 4.1.5.c. to reflect the policies in place to protect confidential and proprietary client information.

James Hibbs was named as the Deputy Quality Manager.

Revision 2 - Managerial Substitutions have been clarified.

Revision 3 - Customer Confidentiality section was updated.
Organizational Structure section was updated.

Revision 4 - Responsibility and Authority section was updated.
4.2 Management System

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that our Management System (or Quality Management System) is based on:

1. A well-defined quality policy statement
2. Say what you do through documentation
3. Do what you say following your documentation
4. Record what you did

Key Words

Establish, Implement, and Maintain
Policies, Systems, Processes, Programs, Procedures, Instructions
Communicate, Understand
Quality Policy Statement
Quality Manual
SOP
Test Method

Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.2
ISO 9001:2000 Section 4.1, 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4.1, 5.4.2, 5.5.1, 5.5.2, 6.2.1, 7.1
4.2.1 Policies and Procedures

Policy:
The Quality Management System is established, implemented, and maintained by management. It is applicable to all the fields of testing and activities in which the laboratory is involved and undertakes. All policies, systems, programs, procedures and instructions are documented to the extent necessary to enable the laboratory to assure the quality of results generated. These documents are communicated to, understood by, available to, and implemented by the appropriate personnel.

Details:
The purpose of our Quality Management System is to ensure that all services and products satisfy the customer’s requirements and have been designed, manufactured, and delivered under controlled conditions.

The effectiveness of the Quality Management System is assessed in several ways:

- by a program of planned internal audits, covering all aspects of the operation of the quality management system
- by regular management reviews of the suitability and effectiveness of the quality management system
- by analysis of potential and actual problems as shown by customer complaints and supplier and subcontractor assessments
- by other methods approved from time to time by the Quality Manager and Director

This Quality Manual and associated documents (including procedures) and records serves as the quality plan for the laboratory. Other documents and records include:

- standard operating procedures
- quality control plans in test methods
- organizational charts
- proposals
- project management schemes

4.2.2 Quality Policy Statement

Policy:
The policies and objectives for laboratory operations are documented in this Quality Manual. The overall objectives are set out in the Quality Policy Statement and reviewed during management review. The Quality Policy Statement is issued under the authority of the Director on the effective date.
Quality Policy Statement:
To ensure accurate and timely analytical services, and to continuously meet or exceed the stated, or implied, expectations of our customers through day-to-day interactions. Analytical Laboratories, Inc. is committed to providing the very best in laboratory testing services to our clients to help them meet their regulatory needs. ALI will adhere to published procedures required by regulatory agencies while utilizing documented standard operating procedures (S.O.P.) created internally. The principles and practices of this policy apply to each employee with ALI.

Effective Date: July 18, 2007

a) Management commitment to good professional practice and quality of services provided to the customer: tests and calibrations are always carried out in accordance with stated standardized methods and customers’ requirements. Requests to perform tests that may jeopardize an objective result or have a low validity are rejected.

b) Standards of service include:
- Customer Satisfaction
- Precision & Accuracy
- Accountability & Traceability

Excellence in the workplace is promoted by providing all employees with the knowledge, training, and tools necessary to allow for the completion of accurate and timely work.

c) Purpose of management system related to quality: to manage our business by meeting the needs of our customers.

d) Personnel: familiarize themselves with quality documentation and implement the policies and procedures in their work.

e) Management is committed to complying with ISO 17025 and ISO 9001 international standards and to continually improve the effectiveness of the management system: the objective of this Quality Manual is to document the compliant policies and associated procedures that are integrated into our daily activities. Continual improvements are established, implemented, and locked into the management system.

Additional objectives include:

- to establish the level of the laboratory’s performance
- to make test method changes to improve performance
- to participate in proficiency testing or quality evaluation programs with peer laboratories
Quality Manual
Analytical Laboratories, Inc.

Section 4.2 – Management System

4.2.3 Commitment to the Management System

Policy:
Top management is committed to the development and implementation of the management system and continually improving its effectiveness.

Details:
The results of the management system are regularly reviewed during management review (see Section 4.15) and continual improvements are made as outlined in Section 4.10 – Improvements.

4.2.4 Communication of Requirements

Policy:
Top management communicates to the organization the importance of meeting customer requirements as well as statutory and regulatory requirements.

Details:
In general, the underlying message in all oral and written management communications involves meeting the aforementioned requirements. Meeting customer requirements ensures that ongoing business relationships secure the contracts that keep everyone employed. Meeting statutory and regulatory requirements ensures that laboratory operations will not be disrupted and the organization can continue to meet customer needs.

4.2.5 Quality Manual

Policy:
This Quality Manual outlines the structure of the documentation used in the quality management system. This Quality Manual makes reference to supporting procedures including technical procedures and is maintained up to date.

Details:
This quality management system is structured in three tiers of documentation. The tiers are as follows:

I. Quality Manual
II. Standard Operating Procedures and Test Methods
III. Records

For most customers, this Quality Manual and the associated documents form a general Quality Plan. If necessary, specific Quality Plans will be prepared on a ‘per-customer’ basis. These Quality Plans will modify the general requirements stated in the Manual and associated documents.

All of the above documents are controlled documents.

The following records and directive documents are referenced in the Quality Manual, but maintained separately:

- organizational chart (section 4.1.5.E)
- copies of the Quality Policy Statement posted in the laboratory (section 4.2.2)
- identification of resources and management review (section 4.15.1)
- job descriptions (section 5.2.4)
- statistical techniques (section 5.9)
- test reports (section 4.13.2 and 5.10)
- identification of the laboratory’s approved signatures (section 5.10.2)
- laboratory’s scope of tests (section 4.1.3)
- equipment inventory and records (sections 5.5.4 and 5.5.5)
- calibration status indicators (section 5.5.8)
- reference standards inventory (section 5.6.3)
- verification records (section 5.9)
- quality control plan / criteria for workmanship (section 5.4.1)
- corrective action records (section 4.11)
- preventive action records (section 4.12)
- customer complaint records (section 4.8.1)
- audit schedule and records (section 4.14.3)
- procurement and subcontracting records (sections 4.6 and 4.5.4)
- training records (section 5.2.5)
- master list of documentation (section 4.3.2)
- confidentiality agreements (section 4.1.5 C)
- contract review (section 4.4.2)
- validation of test methods (section 5.4.5)
- facility floor plan (section 5.3.1)

4.2.6 Technical Management and the Quality Manager
The roles and responsibilities for technical management and the Quality Manager are outlined in section 4.1.5 (F) of this manual.

Technical management ensures that section 5 of this manual is implemented and maintained. The Quality Manager ensures that section 4 of this manual is implemented and maintained.

4.2.7 Maintenance

Policy and Details:
Top management ensures that the integrity of the management system is maintained when changes to the management system are planned and implemented.

Revision History

Revision 0
4.3 Document Control

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that Document Control involves:

1. Writing good procedures
2. Getting them to the users
3. Keeping procedures good

Key Words

Controlled Document
Master List
Unique Identification
Revise
Revision Number
Effective Date
Review and Approval
Obsolete
Archive
Hand-written changes

Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.3
ISO 9001:2000 Section 4.2.1, 4.2.3, 4.2.4
4.3.1 Policies and Procedures

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 4-3-1 is used to control all quality management system documents (internally generated and from external sources). These include documents of external origin, such as regulations, standards, other normative documents, test and/or calibration methods, as well as drawings, specifications, instructions, and manuals.

Details:
Document means any information or instructions including policy statements, procedures, specifications, calibration tables, charts, text books, posters, notices, memoranda, software, drawings, and plans. These may be in various media, whether hard copy or electronic and they may be digital, analog, photographic or written.

The documents to be controlled include:
- Quality Manual
- Standard Operating Procedures and test methods
- Forms
- Standards

The control of data related to testing and calibration is covered in section 5.4.7. The control of records is covered in section 4.13.

4.3.2 Document Approval and Issue

4.3.2.1 Review / Approval / Master List

Policy and Details:
All documents issued to personnel in the laboratory as part of the quality management system are reviewed and approved for use by authorized personnel prior to issue (i.e., reviewed by personnel knowledgeable in the documented activity and then approved by management). A master list identifying the current revision status and distribution of documents in the quality management system is readily available in order to preclude the use of invalid and/or obsolete documents (see SOP# QSP 4-3-1). A revision history of documents is also maintained. Documents are formally reviewed on a biennial basis to ensure their continuing suitability.

4.3.2.2 Availability and Obsolete Documents

Policy and Details:
The master list shows the current status of all controlled documents. The master list document is organized with the following information:

- Title
- Revision # / date of last revision
- Date of issue / last review
- Status (current, obsolete, dormant, or assigned)
- Distribution list (copy number)

Controlled documents are approved before issue.

The SOP# QSP 4-3-1 for document control ensures that:

- authorized editions of appropriate documents are available at all locations where operations essential to the effective functioning of the laboratory are performed
- documents are periodically reviewed and where necessary revised to ensure continuing suitability and compliance with applicable requirements
- invalid or obsolete documents are promptly removed from all points of issue or use to assure against unintended use
- obsolete documents retained for either legal or knowledge preservation purposes are suitably marked (i.e., stamped "OB SOLETE" and dated)

4.3.2.3 Identification

Policy and Details:
All quality management system documentation is identified by:

- date of issue and/or revision number
- page numbering
- total number of pages (e.g., page 5 of 5)
- issuing authority (i.e., approval signature)

4.3.3 Document Changes

4.3.3.1 Review / Approval

Policy:
Changes to documents are reviewed and approved by the same function (i.e., personnel or position) that performed the original review unless specifically designated otherwise. The designated personnel have access to pertinent background information upon which to base their review and approval.

Details:
Developments in policies and procedures require documents to be changed from time to time. Changes to documents receive the same level of review and approval as the originals.

The Quality Manual is reviewed annually by the Quality Manager. Records are kept of this review.

Test methods and SOPs are reviewed on a biennial basis. Procedures for this are outlined in SOP# QSP 4-3-1.

Obsolete documents are withdrawn, but are retained for archive purposes and clearly labeled as obsolete.

### 4.3.3.2 Identification of Changes

**Policy:**
The nature of document changes is identified in the document.

**Details:**
As outlined in SOP# QSP 4-3-1.

Revision history is recorded at the end of the document.

### 4.3.3.3 Amendments by Hand

**Policy and Details:**
Hand-written amendments to documents are not permitted

### 4.3.3.4 Computerized Documents

**Policy and Details:**
The SOP# QSP 4-3-1 details how changes in documents maintained in computerized systems are made and controlled.

### Revision History

Revision 1 03-05-08 Section 4.3.3.2 updated to reflect current policies.
4.4 Review of Requests, Tenders, and Contracts

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that you must:

1. Clearly understand customer requirements

Key Words
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Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.4
ISO 9001:2000 Section 5.2, 6.1, 7.2.1, 7.2.2, 7.2.3
4.4.1 Policies and Procedures

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 4-4-1 is used to review requests, tenders, or contracts. This procedure ensures that:

a) the customer requirements including the methods to be used are adequately defined, documented and understood (see section 5.4.2)
b) the laboratory has the capability and resources to meet the requirements
c) the appropriate test method is selected and capable of meeting the customer’s requirements (see section 5.4.2)

Any differences between the request or tender and the contract are resolved before any work commences. Each contract must be acceptable by both the laboratory and the customer.

Details:
The request, tender and contract review is conducted in a practical and efficient manner, and the effect of financial, legal, and time schedule aspects are taken into account.

The review of capability establishes that the laboratory possesses the necessary physical, personnel, and information resources, and that the laboratory’s personnel have the skills and expertise necessary for the performance of the tests in question. The review may also encompass results of earlier participation in inter-laboratory comparisons or proficiency testing and/or the running of trial test using samples or items of known value in order to determine uncertainties of measurement, limits of detection, and confidence limits.

The contract review ensures that each customer’s requirements are adequately defined and documented before the service or product is ordered or dispatched. This should ensure that any order, once accepted, can be completed without delay, and that the customer’s requirements including delivery date, technical specification, and cost can be met.

If the contract review highlights any ambiguities or uncertainties then the customer will be contacted and the problem resolved before the order is accepted.

The SOP# QSP 4-4-1 also describes the activities that take place should there be a subsequent amendment to a customer’s order.

Typical types of contracts include:

- approved service quotations
- confidentiality agreements
4.4.2 Records of Review

Policy:
Records of request, tender and contract review, including significant changes, are maintained. Records of pertinent discussions with a customer relating to the customer’s requirements or the work during the period of execution of the contract are also maintained.

Details:
For review of routine and other simple tasks, the date and the identification (e.g., initials) of the person in the laboratory responsible for carrying out the contracted work are considered adequate. For repetitive routine tasks, the review need be made only at the initial inquiry stage or on grant of the contract for on-going routine work performed under a general agreement with the customer, provided that the customer’s requirements remain unchanged. For new, complex or advanced testing tasks, a more comprehensive record is maintained.

4.4.3 Review of Subcontracted Work

Policy:
Request, tender, and contract review also includes work that is subcontracted by the laboratory.

Details:
Subcontractor laboratories are reviewed as described in section 4.5.

4.4.4 Notification of Customer

Policy and Details:
Customers are informed of deviations from the contract. This is typically communicated to the customer prior to the performing the deviation.
4.4.5 Contract Amendment

**Policy and Details:**
If a contract needs to be amended after the work has commenced, the same contract review process is repeated and any amendments are communicated to all affected personnel.

**Revision History**

Revision 0
4.5 Subcontracting of Tests and Calibrations

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that we must:

1. Know what tests and calibrations need to be done by another laboratory
2. Check out the other laboratories

Key Words
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- Register of Subcontractors
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Cross-references

- ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.5
- ISO 9001:2000 Section 7.2.3, 7.4.1, 7.4.3, 8.2.4
4.5.1 Subcontractor Competence

Policy:
Work that must be subcontracted due to:
- unforeseen circumstances
- workload
- large contracts
- contracts requiring some extra technical expertise
- testing not currently being done by A.L.I.

is subcontracted to a technically competent laboratory.

Details:
The subcontracted laboratory demonstrates technical competence by possession or receipt of one or more of the following:
- recognized technical accreditation
- registration under the ISO 9001 standard
- satisfactory performance of appropriate quality control check samples, certified reference material, in-house reference material or replicate analysis
- audit of the subcontractor’s quality management system by our auditors

It is the responsibility of the Quality Manager to assess and approve the competence level of subcontractor laboratories.

4.5.2 Customer Approval

Policy:
Customers are advised of work (or any portion thereof) that is being subcontracted to another laboratory and their approval is obtained (preferably in writing).

Details:
Customers are advised of subcontracted work through fee schedules or any type of contract listed in section 4.4.1.

4.5.3 Assurance of Subcontractor Competence

Policy:
The laboratory is responsible to the customer for the subcontractor’s work. Technical competence of subcontractor laboratories is demonstrated through various records.
Note – there may be circumstances where the customer specifies which subcontractor is to be used. In such cases we may not be able to demonstrate the competence of the subcontractor and therefore are not responsible for the results.

Details:
Records of subcontractor competence include, but are not limited to, the following:
- accreditation certificates or documentation
- registration certificates
- check sample results
- audit results
- approval by the Quality Manager

4.5.4 Subcontractor Register

Policy:
A register of all subcontractors performing tests and calibrations is maintained.

Details:
The approved register of subcontractors and all assessment records are maintained by the Quality Manager.

Revision History
Revision 0
4.6 Purchasing Services and Supplies

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that we must:

1. Know what we want
2. Check out our suppliers

Key Words
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Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.6
ISO 9001:2000 Section 6.3.1, 7.4, 7.5.5, 8.2.4
4.6.1 Policies and Procedures

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 4-6-1 is used to select and purchase services and supplies. The SOP# QSP 4-6-1 is used for procurement, reception, and storage of supplies.

Details:
Consumable materials are stored according to the appropriate test method, SOP, or work instruction.

4.6.2 Specifications

Policy:
Only services and supplies of the required quality are used. These quality requirements are detailed in laboratory SOPs under the “Equipment and Supplies” and “Reagents and Standards” sections and will identify the appropriate minimum specifications when necessary.

Details:
Packing slips are checked against package content labels and matched with the Purchase Order or invoice if accepted. Once accepted, the invoice is dated and initialled as evidence of compliance. Certificates of analysis (COA) are maintained on file after the COA is checked to ensure the received item meets minimum specifications.

Chemicals are purchased with manufacturer’s certificates where possible. Uncertified chemicals are purchased from ISO 9000 registered companies. Whatever the source, the laboratory verifies the quality of the standards by comparing the new batch of standards to the old. Due regard is paid to the manufacturer’s recommendations on storage and shelf life.

Reagents are generally purchased from manufacturers who have a quality management system based on ISO 9000. The grade of any reagent used (including water) is stated in the method together with guidance on any particular precautions to be observed in its preparation or use.

Where no independent assurance of the quality of procured goods or services is available or the supplier’s evidence is insufficient the laboratory ensures that purchased goods and services comply with specified requirements. Where possible and practical the laboratory ensures that goods are inspected, calibrated, or are otherwise in compliance with any standard specification relevant to the calibrations or tests concerned.
4.6.3 Purchasing Documents

**Policy:**
Purchasing requests are recorded on a Supply Request form and contain data describing the product ordered. The Supply Request form is reviewed and approved for technical content prior to release.

**Details:**
The description may include type, class, grade, precise identification, specifications, drawings, inspection instructions, other technical data including approval of test results, quality required and quality management system standard under which they were produced.

The completion of the Supply Request form is the responsibility of the area supervisor.

4.6.4 Approved Suppliers

**Policy:**
Suppliers of critical services are evaluated and approved before use. An approved supplier list is maintained.

**Details:**
Audits or tender evaluation is conducted to qualify suppliers of critical services prior to use. The criteria for evaluation may include, but is not limited to the following:

- references
- accreditation
- formal recognition

The records are maintained by the Area Supervisor.

**Revision History**

Revision 0
4.7 Service to the Customer

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that we must:

1. Facilitate clarification of the customer’s request
2. Give customer access to relevant testing area
3. Maintain customer contact
4. Inform customer of delays or deviations
5. Utilize customer surveys

Key Words
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- Deviations
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- Customer Satisfaction Survey

Cross-references

- ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.7
- ISO 9001:2000 Section 6.1, 7.2.1, 7.2.3, 7.4.3, 7.5.1
4.7.1 Service

**Policy:**
Customer requests are clarified for the customers or their representatives. Furthermore the customer or their representative will be afforded the right to monitor the performance of the laboratory in relation to the work performed, provided that the laboratory ensures confidentiality to other customers.

**Details and Procedures:**
Service to the customer includes:

- Affording the customer or the customer's representative reasonable access to relevant areas of the laboratory for the witnessing of work performed for the customer; it is understood that such access should not conflict with rules of confidentiality of work for other customers or with safety.

- Preparing, packaging, and dispatching of test items needed by the customer for verification purposes.

- Maintaining of open contacts. The customer values advice and guidance in technical matters, and opinions and interpretations based on results. Contact with the customer, especially in large assignments, should be maintained throughout the work. The laboratory should inform the customer of any delays or major deviations in the performance of the tests.

4.7.2 Feedback

**Policy and Details:**
The laboratory seeks feedback from the customer. Positive and negative feedback can be obtained passively through ongoing communications with the customer (e.g., review of test reports with customers) or actively through customer satisfaction surveys. The feedback is used to improve the quality management system, testing activities, and customer service.

**Revision History**

Revision 0
4.8 Complaints

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that you must:
1. Maintain records of Complaints
2. Maintain records of Corrective Action

Key Words
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Follow-up Verification

Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.8
ISO 9001:2000 Section 7.2.3
4.8.1 Policies and Procedures

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 4-8-1 is used for resolving complaints received from customers or other parties. Records are maintained of all complaints and follow-up.

Details:
Records of complaints include the following information:

- details of the complaint
- investigation
- corrective action
- follow-up verification

See also section 4.11.

All personnel are responsible for recording and responding to complaints.

Revision History
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4.9 Control of Nonconforming Testing and Calibration Work

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that you must:

1. Stop testing when nonconforming work is identified
2. Determine what is causing nonconforming work

Key Words
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Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.9
ISO 9001:2000 Section 5.5.1, 7.4.3, 7.5.1, 8.2.4, 8.3, 8.5.3
4.9.1 Procedures to Control Nonconforming Work

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 4-9-1 is used to control any aspect of testing and/or calibration work, or the results of this work, when they do not conform with the test methods or the agreed requirements of the customer.

Details:
The procedure ensures that:
- Responsibilities and authorities for the management of nonconforming work are designated and actions (including halting of work and withholding of test reports as necessary) are defined and taken into consideration when nonconforming work is identified
- an evaluation of the significance of the nonconforming work is made
- correction is taken immediately, together with any decision about the acceptability of the nonconforming work
- where necessary, the customer is notified and the work is recalled
- the responsibility for authorizing the resumption of work is defined

Identification of nonconforming work or problems with the quality management system or with testing activities can occur at various locations within the quality management system and technical operations such as:
- customer complaints
- quality control
- instrument calibration
- checking of consumable materials
- staff observations or supervision
- test report checking
- management reviews
- internal or external audits

4.9.2 Root Cause Analysis

Policy:
Where evaluation indicates that nonconforming work could recur or that there is doubt about the compliance of the laboratory’s operations with its own policies and procedures, the corrective action procedures given in 4.11 are followed to identify the root cause(s) of the problem and to eliminate this (these) cause(s).

Details:
The SOP# QSP 4-11-1 outlines the recording of the root cause analysis for investigating nonconforming work.

Situations warranting corrective action investigation include:
- failure to comply with test method including all applicable procedures necessary to ensure the integrity and representative nature of the sample
- presentation of uncertain knowledge as to compliance with test methods including all applicable procedures necessary to ensure the integrity and representative nature of the sample
- failure or suspected failure in method performance as demonstrated by results provided by quality control samples
- lack of relevant evidence provided by quality audit, proficiency testing, or customer feedback
- lack of relevant evidence provided by data validation
- neglect to check the inherent property of the sample that compromises the testing

Revision History
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4.10 Improvements

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that you must:
1. Review procedures for improvements
2. Continually implement improvements

Key Words
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Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.10
ISO 9001:2000 Section 6.1, 8.1, 8.2.1, 8.4, 8.5.1
4.10.1 Policies and Procedures

Policy:
The laboratory continually improves the effectiveness of its management system through the use of the quality policy, quality objectives, audit results, analysis of data, corrective actions, and management review.

Details:
The laboratory has implemented a continual improvement philosophy within the management system. Every employee in the laboratory is encouraged to suggest new ideas for improving services, processes, systems, productivity, and the working environment.

Opportunities for improvement of operations and processes are identified by managers on a continual basis from ongoing feedback on operations and through management reviews. Opportunities for improvement of services are identified by anyone within the organization.

Inputs for improvement opportunities are obtained from the following sources:
- customer satisfaction surveys and any other customer feedback
- market research and analysis
- employees, suppliers, and other interested parties
- internal and external audits of the management system
- records of service nonconformities
- data from process and service characteristics and their trends

Opportunities for improvement may also be identified on a special project basis. The following are listed only as examples:
- improving usefulness of bench space
- reducing excessive inspection/testing
- reducing excessive handling and storage
- reducing test/calibration failures

Opportunities for improvement from daily feedback on operational performance (i.e., internal audits, customer feedback, and test/calibration failures) are evaluated by the Supervisors (Technical Managers) or Quality Manager. Typically, they are implemented through the corrective and preventive action system.

Opportunities for improvement from analysis of longer-term data and trends are evaluated and implemented through the management review process. They are prioritized with respect to their relevance for achieving quality objectives. When opportunities for improvement are no longer supported by the current policy and objectives, management
will establish new quality objectives, and possibly change the policy. The process for this evaluation is described in Section 4.15. Longer-term improvement projects are initiated through the management review process, as well as the corrective and preventive action system.

Service improvement opportunities are evaluated by management. They are implemented through the supervisor of the laboratory who ensures that the improvements are validated as outlined in Section 5.4 of this manual and appropriate level of quality control is performed on an ongoing basis.

**Revision History**

Revision 0
4.11 Corrective Action

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that you must:

1. Identify problems
2. Determine why the problem occurred
3. Fix the cause of the problem
4. Verify that your changes worked
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Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.11
ISO 9001:2000 Section 5.5.1, 5.5.2, 8.1, 8.2.2, 8.2.3, 8.4, 8.5.2,
4.11.1 General

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 4-11-1 is utilized for implementing corrective action when nonconforming work or departures from policies and procedures in the quality management system or technical operations have been identified. The procedure requires that appropriate authority be designated for the implementation of corrective actions. The procedure includes cause analysis, selection and implementation of corrective action, and monitoring of actions.

Details:
Problems with the quality management system or technical operations of the laboratory may be identified through a variety of activities, such as control of nonconforming work, internal or external audits, management reviews, feed-back from customers, or staff observations.

Corrective action investigations are documented and required changes to operational procedures are implemented. The corrective action request (CAR), investigation and resolution are recorded on a CAR form.

4.11.2 Cause Analysis

Policy:
Corrective action always begins with an investigation to determine root cause(s) of the problem (see SOP# QSP 4-11-1).

Details:
Potential causes of the problem could include customer requirements, the samples, sample specifications, methods and procedures, personnel skills and training, consumable materials, or equipment and its calibration.

4.11.3 Selection and Implementation of Corrective Actions

Policy and Details:
After determining the cause(s) of the problem, potential corrective actions are identified. The most likely action(s) (this includes practical and/or reasonable) are selected and implemented to eliminate the problem and to prevent recurrence. It should be noted that any corrective actions taken to eliminate the cause(s) of nonconformities or other departures are to a degree appropriate to address the magnitude of the problem and commensurate with the risks encountered (Note – in plain language, this means
determine whether the benefit outweighs the cost). Controls are applied to prevent recurrence. The laboratory documents and implements the required changes resulting from corrective action investigations.

### 4.11.4 Monitoring of Corrective Action

**Policy:**
After implementing the corrective action(s), the laboratory monitors the results to ensure that the actions taken have been effective in overcoming the problems originally identified.

**Details:**
Monitoring is assigned to an appropriate individual such as the originator of the CAR or the originator’s manager. Changes resulting from corrective action are documented.

### 4.11.5 Additional Audits

**Policy:**
Where the identification of nonconformities or departures casts doubts on compliance of policies, procedures, regulations, international quality standards, the appropriate areas of activity are promptly audited in accordance with section 4.14.

**Details:**
Special audits follow the implementation of corrective actions to confirm their effectiveness. A special audit is only necessary when a serious issue or risk to the business is identified. Special audits are carried out (whenever resources permit) by trained and qualified personnel who are independent of the activity to be audited. See section 4.14 for more details.

### Revision History

Revision 0
4.12 Preventive Action

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that you must:

1. Identify potential problems
2. Determine why the problem could occur
3. Fix the cause of the potential problem
4. Verify that your changes worked

Key Words
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Cross-references

- ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.12
- ISO 9001:2000 Section 4.2.4, 6.3.1, 8.4, 8.5.1, 8.5.2, 8.5.3
4.12.1 Preventive Action Identification

Policy:
Opportunities for needed improvement and potential sources of nonconformities, either technical or with the quality management system shall be identified. If action is required, action plans are developed, implemented and monitored, to reduce the likelihood of occurrence of such nonconformities and to take advantage of the improvement opportunities.

Details:

Records of preventive action include the following information:

- details of potential nonconformities
- investigation
- preventive action
- follow-up verification

These records are maintained in the Corrective Action Request / Preventive Action Request (PAR) form/binder.

4.12.2 Preventive Action Plans

Policy:
The preventive action procedure includes the initiation of such actions and application of controls to ensure that they are effective.

Details:
Preventive action may result from the review of operational procedures and analysis of data. Analysis of data includes trend analysis, analysis of proficiency testing results, and risk analysis.

The SOP# QSP 4-12-1 is utilized to implement opportunities for needed improvement and prevent potential sources of nonconformities.
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4.13 Control of Records

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that you must:

1. Identify the records to be kept
2. Keep identified records in a useful state
3. Destroy records when they are no longer needed
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Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.13
ISO 9001:2000 Section 4.2.4, 6.3, 6.4, 7.1, 7.5.1, 7.5.2, 7.5.3, 8.1, 8.2.2, 8.2.3, 8.2.4
4.13.1 General

4.13.1.1 Procedures

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 4-13-1 is used to identify, collect, index, access, file, store, maintain, protect, backup, and dispose of quality and technical records. Quality records include reports from internal audits and management reviews as well as corrective and preventive action records.

Details:
Records are available to demonstrate conformance to requirements and effective operation of the Quality Management System. Quality records from suppliers are also controlled.

All records, including test reports, are safely stored and held secure in locked areas, and in confidence to the customer. Records are maintained in the designated archival area for 10 years.

The master list of records is organized with the following information:
- Record No. / Form No.
- Record Name
- Filing Method (loose forms filed monthly, quarterly, semi-annual, annual or electronic)
- Active Files (files referred to within the work area) / Retention Period / Location
- Inactive Files (files referred to but not often and kept in storage) / Retention Period / Location
- Persons / Positions Responsible / Users

The dating format for records is [YY/MM/DD].

4.13.1.2 Record Integrity

Policy:
All records are to be legible and shall be retained in such a way that they are readily retrievable in facilities that provide a suitable environment to prevent damage or deterioration and to prevent loss.

Details:
The retention times for records are generally set at 10 years. Records may be in the form of any type of media, such as hard copy or electronic media. Exceptions to this are
retention times for work area-specific records are determined by the laboratory and stated in their quality management system documents. An example of work area-specific retention times, for compliance samples that are tested under the jurisdiction of DEQ and the EPA, is as follows:

- Chemistry Chemical Analyses 10 years retention time
- Lead & Copper Analyses 12 years retention time
- Microbiology Microbiological Analyses 5 years retention time
- Radiochemistry Radionuclide Analyses 10 years retention time

4.13.1.3 Record Security

**Policy:**
All records are held secure and in confidence.

**Details:**
Access to records is secured through locked rooms and filing cabinets.

4.13.1.4 Record Backup

**Policy:**
The SOP# QSP 4-13-1 is followed to protect and backup data/records held on computers at all times and to prevent unauthorized access to or amendment of data/records on computers.

**Details:**
Data is password protected.

Backups ensure integrity and availability of data / information in the event of a system / power failure.

4.13.2 Technical Records

4.13.2.1 Record Information

**Policy:**
Original observations, calculations, derived data and sufficient information to establish an audit trail, calibration records, personnel records and a copy of each test report issued are retained for 10 years.
The records for each test or calibration shall contain sufficient information to facilitate, if possible, identification of factors affecting the test uncertainty and to enable the test or calibration to be repeated under conditions as close as possible to the original. The records include the identity of personnel responsible for sampling, performing of each test and/or calibration and checking of results.

**Details:**
Technical records are accumulations of data (see 5.4.7) and information that result from carrying out tests and/or calibrations and which indicate whether specified quality or process parameters are achieved. They may include forms, contracts, work sheets, work books, note books, instrument printouts, magnetic media, check sheets, work notes, control graphs, test reports, calibration certificates, customer’s notes, papers and feedback, and test reports to customers.

The records for each test contain sufficient information to permit its repetition. Records include:

- date and time of sampling
- date and time of sample receipt
- sample handling, storage, and disposal
- identification of personnel
- analyst proficiency
- equipment identification and performance
- calibration records
- media performance, where appropriate
- test organism batch # or lot #, where appropriate
- results
- reports (mailed, faxed)
- review

*Note* – the above records may be stored in separate locations. They are cross-referenced for easy retrieval.

**4.13.2.2 Recording**

**Policy:**
Observations, data, and calculations are clearly and permanently recorded and identifiable to the specific job at the time they are made.

**Details:**
Handwritten records must be legible and made with indelible ink immediately after an observation, after data is collected and/or after calculations are made.
4.13.2.3 Corrections to Records

Policy:
Changes to test data are made so as not to obscure or delete the previous data entry.

Details:
Mistakes are crossed out and the correct value entered alongside. Mistakes are not erased, made illegible, or deleted. All alterations to records are signed or initialed by the person making the correction. In the case of computer-collected data, similar measures are taken to avoid loss or change of original data.

Revision History

Revision 1 - Details were changed to reflect the length of time that records are retained from 7 to 10 years.

Details were added to section 4.13.1.2 to reflect retention times specific to compliance samples tested under the jurisdiction of DEQ and the EPA.
4.14 Internal Audits

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that:

1. Trained internal auditors examine your internal operations for quality
2. Auditors report the results to those in charge
3. You must correct any areas that need fixing
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Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.14
ISO 9001:2000 Section 8.1, 8.2.2, 8.2.3
4.14.1 Internal Audit Program

Policy:
The internal audit program involves periodic audits conducted according to a predetermined schedule for each year. This program is defined on an annual basis and conducted as outlined in this section with further details found in SOP# QSP 4-14-1. All elements of this Quality Manual will be audited each year and all relevant laboratory records are available to personnel conducting the audit. These audits are performed to verify operations continue to comply with the requirements of this Quality Manual and are effective.

Details:
The Quality Manual, test procedures, and laboratory results are verified for compliance. It is the responsibility of the Quality Manager to plan and organize audits as required by the schedule and requested by management. Audits are carried out by trained and qualified personnel who are (wherever resources permit) independent of the activity to be audited. Personnel are not to audit their own activities except when it can be demonstrated that an effective audit will be carried out (see also 4.11.5). Audits are performed through the aid of a checklist prepared in advance to minimize the possibility of overlooking any details during the audit.

Generally, the types of audits include:
- quality management system
- processes and procedures
- products, services, and reports

4.14.2 Corrective Action

Policy:
When audit findings cast doubt on the effectiveness of the operations or on the correctness or validity of test or calibration results, timely corrective action is taken and customers are notified if investigations show that laboratory results may have been affected.

Details:
Nonconformities that can be resolved easily are to be corrected immediately, ideally during the audit. Records are made on the audit checklist. Nonconformities that require a more involved resolution are recorded on a CAR and resolved as described in section 4.11.
Corrective actions and customer modifications must be kept on record for each audit deviation that casts doubt as described in this section.

### 4.14.3 Records and Management

**Policy:**
Records are made of the activity being audited, the audit findings, and corrective actions that arise. Management ensures that corrective actions are discharged within an appropriate and agreed timeline.

**Details:**
A report is prepared by the auditors and distributed to those audited and/or the area manager/supervisor within an appropriate and agreed timeline. The audit report may include the following sections, as appropriate:
- audit objective and scope
- area or section audited
- personnel involved – auditors and auditees
- date of audit
- reference documents
- observations including nonconformities and commendations
- opening and closing meetings
- recommendations
- audit report distribution

The appropriate manager is responsible for ensuring that corrective actions are sufficiently recorded. Follow-up is performed by the auditor and recorded when corrective action is complete and deemed effective. The audit records are kept in the laboratory.

### 4.14.4 Follow-up Audits

**Policy:**
Follow-up audits are performed to verify and record the implementation and effectiveness of the corrective action taken.

**Details:**
The follow-up audit is performed at a mutually acceptable time between the area implementing corrective action and the auditor. This time is determined when the CAR is issued.
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4.15 Management Reviews

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that management must:

1. Periodically review technical competence and customer satisfaction
2. Keep records of reviews
3. Ensure follow-up is executed
4. Measure progress

Key Words
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ISO 17025:2005 Section 4.15
ISO 9001:2000 Section 5.1, 5.4.2, 5.6, 6.2.1, 7.1, 8.5.1
4.15.1 Review of Quality Management System and Testing

Policy:
Top management periodically (at least annually) and in accordance with a predetermined schedule and SOP# QSP 4-15-1, conduct a review of the laboratory’s quality management system and testing and/or calibration activities to ensure their continuing suitability and effectiveness and to introduce any necessary changes or improvements.

Details:
The review takes account of:
- suitability of policies and procedures
- reports from managerial and supervisory personnel
- the outcome of recent internal audits
- corrective and preventive actions
- assessments by external bodies
- results of inter-laboratory comparisons or proficiency tests
- changes in the volume and type of work undertaken
- feedback from customers, including complaints and customer satisfaction surveys
- recommendations for improvement
- other relevant factors, such as quality control activities, resources and personnel training

A minimum period for conducting a management review is once a year. Results of the review feed into the laboratory planning system and include goals, objectives and action plans for the coming year.

A management review can be supplemented by consideration of related subjects at regular management meetings.

4.15.2 Findings, Actions, and Records

Policy and Details:
Findings from management reviews and the actions that arise are recorded in the minutes of the meeting. Management will ensure that the actions are discharged within an appropriate and agreed upon timeline.
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5.1 General

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section informs you that:

1. Many factors contribute to the correctness and reliability of tests and/or calibrations
2. The laboratory must account for these factors
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5.1.1 Correctness and Reliability

Policy and Details:
Correctness and reliability of the tests and/or calibrations performed have many contributing factors including:

- human factors (see section 5.2)
- accommodation and environmental conditions (see section 5.3)
- test and calibration methods and method validation (see section 5.4)
- equipment (see section 5.5)
- measurement traceability (see section 5.6)
- sampling (see section 5.7)
- handling of test and calibration items (see section 5.8)

5.1.2 Measurement Uncertainty

Policy:
When developing test and calibration methods and procedures, total measurement uncertainty must be accounted for in the training and qualification of personnel, and in the selection and calibration of equipment.

Details:
The extent to which the factors contribute to total measurement uncertainty differs between (types of) tests and between (types of) calibrations.

See section 5.4.6 for more details.
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5.2 Personnel

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you that management:

1. Analyzes training needs
2. Provides training to employees for them to do their jobs
3. Qualifies people performing specific tasks
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5.2.1 Competence and Qualification

Policy:
Management ensures the competency of all specific equipment operators, those performing tests and/or calibrations, those evaluating results and signing test reports. Appropriate supervision is provided for employees undergoing training. Personnel performing specific tasks are qualified on the basis of appropriate education, training, experience and/or demonstrated skills, as required.

In addition, personnel responsible for the opinions and interpretations included in test reports also have:
- relevant knowledge of the technology used for the manufacturing of the items, materials, products tested, or the way they are used or intended to be used and of the defects or degradation that may occur during or in service
- knowledge of the general requirements expressed in the legislation and standards
- an understanding of the significance of deviations found with regard to the normal use of the items, materials, or products concerned

Details:
Management defines the minimum levels of qualification and experience necessary for all posts within the laboratory. In some technical areas it may be required that the personnel performing certain tasks be certified. The laboratory is responsible for fulfilling specified certification requirements of personnel. The requirements for personnel certification might be regulatory, might be included in the standards for the specific technical field, or required by the customer.

Continued competence is monitored and where this is not achieved, the need to retrain personnel is considered. Where a method or technique is not in regular use, verification of personnel performance before they undertake tests, may be necessary.

5.2.2 Training Policies and Procedures

Policy:
Management will formulate the goals with respect to the education and the skills of the laboratory personnel. The training program is relevant to the present and anticipated tasks of the laboratory. SOP# QSP 5-2-1 is utilized to identify training needs and providing the necessary training for personnel. The effectiveness of the training actions taken are evaluated.

Details:
The skills and knowledge are defined in the job description for each job function as described in section 5.2.4. Management compares the job description to the skills and knowledge of the new incumbent to determine the training needs.

Training in the laboratory must include all methods or parts of methods and techniques that personnel are asked to perform. Minimally, the analyst must demonstrate competency through observation by management and verification using replicate and/or check samples. For technicians who perform only parts of the method, confirmation of competency may be verified by observation only. Re-verification of all personnel must be performed annually on all methods or techniques pertinent to their job description.

In some cases it may be appropriate to define competence related to a particular technique or instrument rather than methods. If so, it will be necessary to define for each method, the necessary technique-based competence required together with any additional requirements.

### 5.2.3 Employees

**Policy:**
Competent permanent or contractual employees are employed in the laboratory. The Laboratory Director ensures that contractual, additional technical employees, and key support personnel are supervised and work in accordance to the policies and procedures of this Quality Manual.

**Details:**
Testing must be either performed or supervised by an experienced person qualified to degree level.

### 5.2.4 Job Descriptions

**Policy:**
Current job descriptions for managerial, technical and key support personnel involved in tests and/or calibrations are maintained centrally in the administration area of the laboratory.

**Details:**
Minimum contents of job descriptions include:
- the duty of performing tests and/or calibrations
- the act of planning tests and/or calibrations and evaluation of results
- the responsibility of developing and validating new methods as / when requested
5.2.5 Authorized Personnel

Policy:
Management authorizes specific personnel to perform particular types of sampling, test and/or calibration, to issue test reports, to give opinions and interpretations and to operate particular types of equipment. Records of the relevant competence, educational and professional qualifications, training, skills and experience of all technical personnel and contracted personnel are maintained. This information is readily available and includes the date on which authorization and/or competence was confirmed and the criteria on which the authorization is based and the confirming authority.

Details:
The purpose of these records is to provide evidence that personnel have been adequately trained and their competence to perform particular tests has been assessed. In some cases it may be pertinent to state any particular limitations to competence. The records are maintained in a registry of skills and include:
- academic and professional qualifications
- external and internal courses attended
- relevant on-the-job training and retraining as necessary (i.e., demonstration of competence)
- skills and experience (i.e., resume)
- relevant authorizations

Records are held centrally in the administration area.

Revision History

Revision 1 - Job Description (details section) was updated to reflect current policies.
Section 5.3 – Accommodation and Environmental Conditions

5.3 Accommodation and Environmental Conditions

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you:

1. That laboratory facilities are suitable for attaining correct performance of tests and calibrations
2. Critical environmental conditions are monitored, controlled and recorded
3. Incompatible activities are separated
4. Access to laboratories is controlled
5. Good housekeeping is practiced
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5.3.1 Facility

Policy:
Laboratory facilities are appropriate to attain correct performance of tests and/or calibrations. This may include, but not limited to, energy sources, lighting, heating, ventilation and any other environmental conditions.

Appropriate care is taken to ensure that the environment does not invalidate the results or adversely affect the required quality of any measurement. Particular care is taken when sampling, tests and/or calibrations are undertaken at sites other than a permanent laboratory facility. The technical requirements for accommodation and environmental conditions that can affect the results of tests and calibrations are documented.

Details:
This section deals with the test areas in the laboratory and premises for support such as sample receipt and storage. Central laboratory supplies and services, such as water purification systems, air supply, vacuum source, and sample storage, are appropriate to facilitate proper performance of tests.

5.3.2 Monitoring

Policy:
Critical environmental conditions are monitored, controlled and recorded as required by the relevant specifications, methods, and procedures or where they may influence the quality of the results. Due attention is paid, for example, to biological sterility, dust, air quality, humidity, electrical supply, temperature, and sound and vibration levels, as appropriate to the technical activities concerned. Tests and calibrations are stopped when the environmental conditions jeopardize the results of the tests and/or calibrations.

Details:
Laboratories are ventilated to reduce the levels of contamination, lower humidity, and control temperature. Laboratories’ test areas are air-conditioned or heated where appropriate to maintain acceptable humidity and temperature conditions, relative to the type of testing in each respective area of the laboratory.

Bench tops and floors are made of impervious, smooth easily cleaned materials. There is at least two linear meters workspace per analyst while working. Walls and ceilings are made of materials that are smooth and easily cleaned. In the Microbiology area, critical work surfaces are monitored for pathogens where pertinent to the scope of the laboratory.

5.3.3 Separation of Incompatible Activities
Policy:
Effective separation between neighboring areas is made when the activities are incompatible. Measures are taken to prevent cross-contamination.

Details:
Reference materials and certified reference materials must be kept separated from samples (log-in and storage). Sample log-in and storage must be segregated, ideally in a separate area from the testing laboratory, and include proper sanitation to exclude the possibility of cross-contamination. Segregation of activities is achieved through time and space allocations.

An example of space segregation would be for a trace analysis. Physical separation of the trace analysis from high-level analysis is achieved through the use of separate rooms.

An example of time segregation would be the coordination of activities at different times. It may be appropriate to perform work on “cleaner” samples first before starting “dirtier” type samples.

5.3.4 Controlled Access

Policy:
Access to and use of areas affecting quality of the tests and/or calibrations is defined and controlled.

Details:
Access to the laboratory is restricted to authorized personnel. The authorized personnel are made aware of the following items:
- the intended use of the area
- the restrictions imposed on working within such areas
- the reasons for imposing the restrictions

5.3.5 Good Housekeeping

Policy:
Measures are taken to ensure good housekeeping in the laboratory. Special procedures are prepared when necessary.

Details:
Controlled use of cleaning and pest control materials is exercised. The laboratory complies with the local health and safety requirements.
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5.4 Tests and Calibration Methods and Method Validation

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you:

1. Preference is given to the use of a standard method when selecting procedures
2. All methods must be validated before use
3. Measurement uncertainty is estimated
4. Data is controlled
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5.4.1 General

Policy:
Methods and procedures used for all tests and/or calibrations are appropriate as per:
- sampling, handling, transport, storage, and preparation of items to be tested and/or calibrated
- an estimation of the measurement of uncertainty as well as statistical techniques for analysis of test and/or calibration data where appropriate

Instructions on the use and operation of all relevant equipment and on the handling and preparation of items for testing and/or calibration are available. All instructions, standards, manuals and reference data relevant to the work of the laboratory are maintained current and readily available to personnel. Deviation from test and calibration methods must be documented, technically justified, authorized, and accepted by the customer.

Details:
There are SOPs for sampling, sample handling, transport, storage, preparation of test items, QA/QC procedures (media QC, incubation times and temperatures, equipment calibration and maintenance, process control QC), and standards for approving / rejecting results. These may be combined with or separate from the method. The content of a test method includes:
- scope
- description of test items
- holding times
- quantities to be tested
- materials and equipment required
- physical environmental conditions required (incubation times and temperatures, pH requirements)
- description of procedures
- sample identification
- method of recording observations and results
- safety measures
- documentation
- method for data analysis and presentation
- sensitivity of method
- quality control plan

International, national, or regional standards or other recognized specifications that contain sufficient and concise information on how to perform the tests and/or calibrations are not necessarily supplemented or rewritten as an internal procedure when they are
written in a way that can be used as published by laboratory staff. Consideration may need to be given to providing additional documentation for optional steps in the method.

5.4.2 Selection of Methods

**Policy:**
Test and/or calibration methods, including methods for sampling, meet the needs of the customer and are appropriate for the tests and/or calibrations it undertakes. Preference is given to reference methods published as international, national, or regional standards. The laboratory ensures that the latest edition of a standard is used unless it is not appropriate or possible to do so. When necessary, the standard is supplemented with additional details to ensure consistent application.

**Details:**
Methods that have been published either in international, national, or regional standards, or by reputable technical organizations, or in relevant scientific texts or journals, or as specified by the manufacturer are selected when the customer does not specify the method to be used. These methods may be adopted from the AOAC, FDA BAM, USDA FSIS & AMS, APHA SMEDP, APHA Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods, ISO, ICMSF, National Food Processors, American Association of Cereal Chemists, Association of Dressing and Sauces, Health Canada, Environmental Protection Agency, OIE, ASTM, etc.

The ability of the laboratory to achieve satisfactory performance against documented performance characteristics is verified before samples are analyzed.

Laboratory-developed methods or methods adopted by the laboratory may also be used if they are appropriate for the intended use and if they are validated. The customer is informed as to the method chosen. The laboratory confirms that it can properly operate standardized methods before introducing the tests or calibrations. If the standardized method changes, the confirmation is repeated.

The customer is informed when the method proposed by the customer is considered to be inappropriate or out of date.

5.4.3 Laboratory-Developed Methods

**Policy:**
Introduction of test and calibration methods developed internally is a planned activity and is assigned to qualified personnel equipped with adequate resources. Plans are updated as
development proceeds and ensures effective communication amongst all personnel involved.

Details:
Methods developed in-house are validated and authorized before use. Where available, Certified Reference Materials (CRMs) are used to determine any systemic bias, or where possible results are compared with other techniques, preferably based on different principles of analysis. Determination of uncertainty must be part of this validation process and is essential for ongoing quality control.

5.4.4 Non-Standard Methods

Policy:
Utilization of non-standard methods is subject to agreement with the customer and includes a clear specification of the customer’s requirements and the purpose of the test. The developed method is validated appropriately before use.

Details:
Discussion and agreement for the use of non-standard methods is recorded as part of contract review procedures (see section 4.4).

All non-standard and new tests are validated for their intended purpose. Qualitative test methods must be validated to demonstrate estimated sensitivity and specificity, relative accuracy to official methods (if appropriate), positive and negative deviation, limit of detection, matrix effect, repeatability, and reproducibility.

Quantitative test methods are validated to demonstrate specificity, sensitivity, relative accuracy, positive and negative deviation, repeatability, reproducibility, and limit of determination.

For new methods where procedures are developing rapidly, especially for emergency situations, it may be necessary to circumvent normal validation procedures. Minimally, this must be a demonstrated recovery in replicate.

New test and/or calibration methods are documented prior to providing test and/or calibration results to customers and contain at least the following information:
- appropriate identification
- scope
- description of the type of item to be tested or calibrated
- parameters or quantities to be determined
- apparatus and equipment, including technical performance requirements
5.4.5 Validation of Methods

5.4.5.1 Performance Characteristics

Policy:
Validation of a method establishes, by systematic laboratory studies, that the performance characteristics of the method meet the specifications related to the intended use of the test results.

Details:
The performance characteristics of a validation plan includes, as applicable:
- selectivity and specificity
- range
- linearity
- sensitivity
- limit of detection
- limit of quantitation
- accuracy
- precision
- reporting limit
- repeatability
- reproducibility
- recovery
- confirmation techniques
- criteria for the number of samples tested to validate method as per defined scope of method
- action levels where defined by regulation
Quality control incorporating statistics as applicable
- interpretation of population results as applicable

Performance characteristics that are selected take into account the intended use of the method, whether for screening, confirmatory analysis, or quantitation.

The design, verification of the method and documentation procedures for validation are planned and conducted by qualified personnel, equipped with adequate resources.

This section lists a few acceptable validation procedures. The choice of the procedure depends on the extent of the deviation from the published method.

Validation of methodology is a value judgment in which the performance parameters of the method are compared with the requirements for the test data. A prerequisite for a valid method is that data produced by the method must attain a state of statistical control. Such a state is obtained when the mean value of a large number of individual values tends to approach a limiting value called the limiting mean.

Methods may be validated by one or more alternative procedures. Some of these procedures are described below. Apparent differences can be analyzed statistically to confirm their significance. In all cases, the reasons for choosing one or more alternatives must be documented.
- analysis of standard reference materials (SRM) that are identical or almost identical to the test samples
- in the absence of suitable SRMs, analysis of reference materials that are similar in all respect to the test samples; the use and validity of this reference material must be documented
- using an alternative method to measure the same parameter provides a very high level of confidence if results are confirmed
- recovery studies by the addition of a known concentration of the parameter of interest to some of the replicates being measured

The parameters to be determined include:
- the scope of the method and any known interference
- detection limit
- the range of concentration where the method is valid
- precision and bias
- intra-laboratory variations
- inter-laboratory variations

Judgment is required to determine if some or all of the above is required. Requirements will depend largely on the extent of deviation from the original method.
Developments in methodology and techniques require methods to be changed from time to time. The difference in performance between revised and obsolete methods is established so that it is possible to compare old and new data.

Where a change in method involves only minor adjustments, such as sample size, or different reagents, the amended method is validated and the changes brought to the attention of the accreditation body at the next accreditation audit. Where the proposed change involves technology or methodology, the laboratory seeks the approval of the accreditation body.

Records are kept on all validation activities. The records include any of the performance characteristics chosen, reference procedures or guidance documents followed to validate the method or custom validation procedure, and a final confirmation (memo to file) that the method validation results are acceptable for continued use of the method. An example statement would be “This memo serves as record that the validation of the XYZ Test Method has been approved for use by [name and title of approver]”.

5.4.5.2 Fit for Use

**Policy:**
The laboratory validates non-standardized methods, laboratory-designed/developed methods, standardized methods used outside their intended range, and amplifications of standard methods to confirm that the methods are fit for the intended use. The validation is as extensive as is necessary to meet the needs in the given application or field of application (may include procedures for sampling, handling, and transportation). The laboratory records the results obtained, the procedure used for the validation, and a statement as to whether the method is fit for the intended use.

**Details and Procedure:**
Validation records are kept as in section 5.4.5.1. Included in these records is the validation procedure. The procedure used for the validation is likely to vary between different methods. Therefore, the procedures included in the laboratory records are not as detailed as a typical SOP, but are sufficient enough to re-create how the method was validated.

The techniques used for the determination of the performance of a method, are one of, or a combination of, the following:
- calibration using reference standards or reference materials
- comparison of results achieved with other methods
- inter-laboratory comparisons
- systematic assessment of the factors influencing the result
- assessment of the uncertainty of the results based on scientific understanding of the theoretical principles of the method and practical experience.

When changes are made in the validated non-standard method, the influence of such changes carried out is documented and if appropriate a new validation is performed.

### 5.4.5.3 Customer’s Needs

**Policy:**
The range and accuracy of the values obtainable from validated methods (e.g., the uncertainty of the results, detection limit, selectivity of the method, linearity, limit of repeatability and/or reproducibility, robustness against external influences and/or cross-sensitivity against interference from the matrix of the sample/test object) as assessed for the intended use is relevant to the customer’s needs.

**Details:**
Validation includes the specification of the requirements, determination of the characteristics of the methods, the comparison of the requirements with the values of the characteristics of the method, and a statement on the validity.

As method development proceeds, regular review is required to verify that the needs of the customer are still being fulfilled. Changing requirements requiring modifications to the development plan are approved and authorized.

Validation is always a balance between costs, risks, and technical possibilities.

### 5.4.6 Uncertainty of Measurement

#### 5.4.6.1 Calibration

**Policy:**
Physical, chemical, and biological standards are calibrated or characterized by qualified subcontractors.

**Details and Procedures:**
Repeatability and reproducibility data are components of measurement uncertainty and are determined as a first step towards producing estimates of this parameter. The uncertainty of measurement is available on the certificate of analysis or calibration certificate from a subcontractor.
Note – in-house calibrations include procedures for uncertainty of measurement estimates where this is common practice.

5.4.6.2 Testing

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 5-4-1 is utilized to estimate uncertainties of measurement in testing, except when the test methods preclude such rigorous calculations. In certain cases it is not possible to undertake metrologically and statistically valid estimations of uncertainty of measurement. In these cases the laboratory attempts to identify all the components of uncertainty and make the best possible estimation, and ensure that the form of reporting does not give an exaggerated impression of accuracy. Reasonable estimation is based on knowledge of the performance of the method and on the measurement scope and makes use of previous experience and validation data.

Details:
The degree of rigor needed in an estimation of uncertainty of measurement depends on factors such as:
- requirement of the test method
- requirement by the customer
- if there are narrow limits on which decisions on conformity to a specification are based

In cases where a well-recognized test method specifies limits to the values of the major sources of uncertainty of measurement and specifies the form of presentation of calculated results, the laboratory is considered to have satisfied the estimation uncertainty of measurement by following the reporting instructions (see section 5.10).

5.4.6.3 Uncertainty Components

Policy:
When estimating the uncertainty of measurement, all uncertainty components that are of importance in the given situation are taken into account using accepted methods of analysis.

Details:
Sources contributing to the uncertainty include, but are not necessarily limited to, the reference standards and reference materials used, methods and equipment used, the environmental conditions, the item being tested or calibrated and the operator.
The predicted long-term behavior of the tested and/or calibrated item is normally not taken into account when estimating the measurement uncertainty.

For further information, see ISO 5725 and the Guide to Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement.

5.4.7 Control of Data

5.4.7.1 Calculations and Data Transfers

Policy:
Calculations and data transfers are subject to appropriate checks in a systematic manner.

Details:
Test data are validated through the following arrangements by the: Area Supervisor
➢ checks to determine accuracy of calculations, conversions, and data transfers
➢ checks for transcription errors, omissions, and mistakes
➢ checks to determine consistency with normal or expected values

For those analyses where manual data reduction is required, it is performed according to the instructions provided in the test method or SOP.

5.4.7.2 Computers and Automated Equipment

Policy:
When computers or automated equipment are used for the acquisition, processing, manipulation, recording, reporting, storage or retrieval of test or calibration data, the laboratory ensures that:
➢ computer software developed by the user is documented in sufficient detail and suitably validated or otherwise checked as being adequate for use
➢ procedures are established and implemented for protecting the integrity of data; such procedures include, but are not be limited to, integrity and confidentiality of data entry or collection, data storage, data transmission, and data processing (see section 4.13.1.4)
➢ computers and automated equipment are maintained to ensure proper functioning and are provided with the environmental and operating conditions necessary to maintain the integrity of test and calibration data
➢ data is securely maintained by preventing unauthorized access to, and unauthorized amendment of, computer records
Details and Procedures:
Data generated using computer software programs that are interfaced directly to instruments incorporates all dilutions and calculations, thereby eliminating the need for manual data reduction.

Commercially developed software in general use within its designed application range may be considered sufficiently validated. Laboratory software configuration / modifications are validated as outlined in SOP# QSP 5-5-1.

Electronic records, electronic signatures, and handwritten signatures executed to electronic records must be equivalent to proper records and handwritten signatures to paper and are validated by procedures in 21 CFR. Part II (Docket No. 92NO251) RIN0910-AA29; Federal Register: March 20, 1997, Volume 62, Number 54), Rules and Regulations, pages 13429-13466. For further details see:

http://www.fda.gov/ora/compliance_ref/part11/
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5.5 Equipment

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you to:

1. Identify information needs for accept / reject decisions
2. Install equipment capable of providing that information
3. Use the equipment in the proper environment
4. Periodically check the equipment calibration
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5.5.1 Required Equipment

Policy:
The laboratory is furnished with all items for sampling, measurement and test equipment required for the correct performance of the tests and/or calibrations (including sampling, preparation of test and/or calibration items, processing and analysis of test and/or calibration data). When equipment is used outside the laboratory’s permanent control, it ensures that the requirements of this Quality Manual are met.

Details:
Equipment is used in an environment appropriate to its proper performance. All equipment required by a test is described in each method, including the equipment’s tolerances.

5.5.2 Required Accuracy

Policy:
Equipment and software used for testing, calibration and sampling are capable of achieving the accuracy required and comply with specifications relevant to the tests and/or calibrations concerned. Calibration programs are established for key quantities or values of the instruments where these properties have a significant affect on the results. When received, equipment, including that used for sampling, is checked to establish that it meets the laboratory’s specification requirements, complies with the relevant standard specifications, and is checked and/or calibrated in accordance with section 5.6 before use.

Details:
The procedures for checking newly received equipment are as determined by manufacturers’ specification and/or those determined by the laboratory during procurement.

5.5.3 Authorized Personnel

Policy:
Equipment is operated by authorized personnel. Up-to-date instructions on the use and maintenance of equipment (including any relevant manuals provided by the manufacturer of the equipment) are readily available for use by the appropriate laboratory personnel.

Details:
Access to laboratory equipment is controlled to ensure that only authorized personnel use equipment.
5.5.4 Unique Identification

Policy:
Each item of equipment used for testing and calibration is uniquely identified as appropriate.

Details:
Measuring and testing equipment is uniquely identified through asset identification. Measuring and testing equipment includes any instrument that could affect the quality of test results. Components that can be interchanged between various instruments are tracked in equipment logbooks but are not assigned individual asset identifications.

5.5.5 Inventory and Maintenance Records

Policy:
Records are maintained for each item of equipment significant to the tests and/or calibrations performed. The records include the following:
- identity of the item of equipment (and its software)
- manufacturer’s name, type identification, and serial number and/or other unique identification
- checks that equipment complies with the specification (see section 5.5.2)
- current location, where appropriate
- the manufacturer’s instructions, if available, or reference to their location
- dates, results and copies of reports and certificates of all calibrations, adjustments, acceptance criteria, and due date of next calibration
- maintenance carried out to date and the maintenance plan (includes calibration)
- damage, malfunction, modification or repair to the equipment

Details:
A database is used to capture the above inventory information. The above information related to service and maintenance is kept in individual equipment files and/or binders. Other information kept in these files and/or binders may include:
- date received and date placed in service
- condition when received (e.g., new, used, refurbished)
- dates and results of calibration and/or verification and date of next calibration and/or verification
- performance history, where appropriate (e.g., response time, drift, noise level)
5.5.6 Equipment Procedures

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 5-5-1 is utilized as an established plan for safe handling, transport, storage, use and maintenance (including calibration) of measuring equipment, and appropriate use of correction factors to ensure proper functioning and in order to prevent contamination or deterioration.

Note – additional procedures may be necessary when measuring equipment is used outside the permanent laboratory for tests, calibrations, or sampling (currently not applicable at our laboratory).

Details and Procedures:
The procedures for each piece of measuring equipment are located in the appropriate room where the equipment is located (or in a centralized library). These procedures detail any information for safe handling, transport, storage, use, and maintenance of measuring equipment.

5.5.7 Out of Service Equipment

Policy:
Equipment that has either been subjected to overloading or mishandling, or gives suspect results, or has been shown to be defective or outside specified limits, is taken out of service, clearly marked, and appropriately stored until it has been repaired and shown by calibration or test to perform correctly.

Details:
Routine testing work is completely discontinued on equipment that even shows minor nonconformances. Not only do we do this for ethical reasons in support of our customer, but minor nonconformances are often indicative of major breakdowns in expensive equipment. These breakdowns need to be avoided wherever possible.

Out of service equipment is clearly marked as outlined in section 5.5.8.

The laboratory examines the effect of the defect or departure from specified limits on previous test and/or calibrations and institutes the “Control of Nonconforming Work” procedure as outlined in section 4.9.

5.5.8 Calibration Status
Policy:
Equipment requiring calibration is labeled to indicate the calibration status and/or operational status and the date when re-calibration is due when appropriate.

Details:
Calibration labels have a write-on surface and a pressure sensitive adhesive. The areas that are filled out include the person who performed the calibration, the date it was performed, the date it is due for re-calibration, and the equipment’s identification number.

CALIBRATION
BY DATE
DUE ID#

Measuring equipment that has failed calibration or is deemed out of service is labeled with one of the following labels:

CALIBRATION VOID
DO NOT USE

OUT OF SERVICE
DO NOT USE

A piece of equipment that is not calibrated or checked is labeled with the following label:

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

5.5.9 Return to Service

Policy:
When equipment goes outside the direct control of the laboratory for a period, the laboratory ensures that the function and calibration status of the equipment are checked and validated and shown to be satisfactory before the equipment is returned to service.

Details and Procedures:
The procedures used to check and ensure that the function and calibration status of the equipment are satisfactory before the equipment is returned to service are outlined in the
manufacturer’s equipment manual. Any additional quality control checks are outlined in the “Quality Control Plan” section of the appropriate test method.

5.5.10 Periodic Checks

Policy:
When intermediate checks are needed to maintain confidence in the calibration status of equipment, these checks are carried out periodically according to defined procedure.

Details and Procedures:
As stated in section 5.5.6, the procedures for each piece of measuring equipment are located in the appropriate room where the equipment is located (or in a centralized library). SOP# QSP 5-5-1 outlines a general maintenance plan for equipment and includes various checks. Internal quality control checks are specified in individual test methods that are located in the appropriate laboratory areas thereby providing procedures for intermediate checks.

5.5.11 Correction Factors

Policy
Calibrations that give rise to a set of correction factors are updated along with all copies of this data (e.g., in computer software).

Details and Procedures:
The updating of correction factors, including all copies, is assured by following the appropriate test method or SOP. It is the responsibility of the Area Supervisor to ensure that all copies are updated.

5.5.12 Safeguards against Adjustments

Policy:
Test and calibration equipment, including hardware and software, are safeguarded from adjustments that invalidate test and/or calibration results/status.

Details:
Safeguards against adjustment for laboratory equipment include:
- detailed SOPs and manufacturer’s manuals on the operation of the equipment
- policies permitting only fully trained and competent personnel to operate equipment
- access to the laboratory is restricted to authorized personnel
Safeguards against adjustment for software includes:
- password protection for important files and packages
- access to the laboratory is restricted to authorized personnel
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5.6 Measurement Traceability

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you:
1. Measurements are traceable to SI units (when applicable)
2. Reference Standards and Reference Materials are used
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5.6.1 General

Policy:
Test and/or calibration equipment for subsidiary measurements (e.g., for environmental conditions) having a significant effect on the accuracy or validity of the result of the test, calibration, or sampling are calibrated before being put into service. All measurement and test equipment having an effect on the accuracy or validity of tests is calibrated and/or verified before being put into service. As mentioned in section 5.5, the SOP# QSP 5-5-1 outlines an established program for the maintenance of equipment and includes calibration.

Details:
The program includes a system for selecting, using, calibrating, checking, controlling, and maintaining:
- measurement standards
- reference standards used as measurement standards
- measuring and test equipment used to perform tests and calibrations

Procedures are documented where appropriate. All measurements that play a defining role in testing accuracy are based directly or indirectly on reference standards, reference materials, certified reference materials, or other standards or materials having appropriate traceability.

Records are maintained for each standard. These records include, as applicable:
- supplier, grade, batch#
- dates of preparation or verification
- measurement of weights, volumes, time intervals, temperatures, and pressures and related calculations
- relevant processes (e.g., pH adjustment, sterilization)
- verification results
- identification of personnel involved

Records are maintained for each lot of test organisms. These records include, as applicable:
- species and ATCC#
- maintenance history

Disposal of test organisms is carried out humanely and conforms to applicable legal requirements.

Reagents prepared in the laboratory are labelled to identify substance, strength, solvent (where not water), any special precautions or hazards, restrictions of use, and date of preparation and/or expiry. The person responsible for the preparation of the reagent is identified either from the label or from records.
5.6.2 Specific Requirements

5.6.2.1 Calibration

Policy:
The program for calibration equipment is designed and operated to ensure that calibration measurements are traceable to the Système International (SI) units of measurement.

Details:
Traceability of measurement is assured by the use of calibration services from laboratories that can demonstrate competence, measurement capability and traceability. The calibration certificates issued by these laboratories show that there is a link to a primary standard or to a natural constant realizing the SI unit by an unbroken chain of calibrations. The calibration certificates contain the measurement results including the measurement uncertainty and/or a statement of compliance with an identified metrological specification (see also section 5.10.4.2).

Calibration laboratories accredited to ISO 17025 are considered competent to provide the appropriate calibration services.

Traceability to SI units of measurement may be achieved by reference to an appropriate primary standard or by reference to a natural constant the value of which, in terms of the relevant SI unit, is known.

The term “identified metrological specification” means that it must be clear from the calibration certificate against which specification the measurements have been compared with, by including the specification or by giving an unambiguous reference to the specification.

When the terms “international standard” or “national standard” are used in connection with traceability, it is assumed that these standards fulfill the properties of primary standards for the realization of SI units.

Maintain certificates of all reference standards, measuring equipment, or certified reference material used in ensuring traceability. Where traceability to national standards of measurement is not applicable, the laboratory provides satisfactory evidence of correlation of results, for example by participation in a suitable program of inter-laboratory comparisons or proficiency testing.
Reference standards, such as thermometers and weights, are traceable to a national or international standard (e.g., NIST).

5.6.2.2 Testing

5.6.2.2.1

Policy:
The requirements given in section 5.6.2.1 apply to measuring and test equipment with measuring functions used, unless it has been established that the associated calibration uncertainty contributes little to the total uncertainty of the test result. When this situation arises, the laboratory ensures that equipment used can provide the accuracy of measurement needed.

Details:
The extent to which the requirements in section 5.6.2.1 are followed depends on the relative contribution of calibration uncertainty to the total uncertainty. If calibration is the dominant factor, the requirements are strictly followed. If, however, calibration is not one of the major contributors to the total uncertainty, other ways for providing confidence may be used, as given in section 5.6.2.2.2.

5.6.2.2.2

Policy:
Where traceability to SI units of measurement is not possible and/or not relevant, other means for providing confidence in the results are applied such as:
- the use of suitable reference materials certified to give a reliable characterization of the material
- mutual-consent standards or methods which are clearly specified and agreed upon by all parties concerned
- participation in a suitable program of inter-laboratory comparisons or proficiency testing

Details:
Reliable characterization involves an estimate of recovery.

The laboratory participates in proficiency testing and/or check sample programs. The list of programs is maintained by the Quality Manager.

5.6.3 Reference Standards and Reference Materials
5.6.3.1 Reference Standards

**Policy:**
The SOP# QSP 5-6-1 outlines the program for the calibration of reference standards. Reference standards are obtained or calibrated by a body that can provide traceability as described in section 5.6.2.1. Such reference standards of measurement held by the laboratory are used for calibration only and for no other purpose, unless it can be shown that their performance as reference standards would not be invalidated.

**Details:**
Reference standards are obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) if applicable.

5.6.3.2 Reference Materials

**Policy:**
Where possible, reference materials are traceable to SI units of measurement, or to certified reference materials. Internal reference materials are checked as far as is technically and economically practicable.

**Details:**
Reference materials, including calibration standards, used in chemical measurement are prepared so that the point of measurement is similar or equivalent to that of the samples. The matrix, prior to the addition of the analyte does not have a detectable concentration of the analyte. Reagents used in the preparation of reference materials, including calibration standards are of certified purity.

Certified reference cultures are traceable to a national or internationally recognized type culture collection. Reference cultures from laboratory sources must be identified to standard reference sources. These reference cultures must be handled to maintain their biochemical reaction and physiological characteristic integrity. All Reference Cultures and Certified Reference Cultures are not transferred more than five times from a type culture collection. Alternatively, re-identify the culture for key biochemical and physiological characteristics using national or internationally recognized reference sources. Another alternative is to grow the type culture, then freeze it (or freeze-dry it), and use periodically. Thus, extending the length of time required before repurchase or re-identification. These may also be commercially available and purchased for use. Companies selling Certified Reference Cultures must comply with the requirements of ISO 17025 for a calibration laboratory.

5.6.3.3 Intermediate Checks
Policy:
Checks needed to maintain confidence in the calibration status of reference, primary, transfer or working standards and reference materials are carried out according to defined procedures and schedules.

Details and Procedures:
The control check standards used to verify the accuracy of all the other standards are prepared independently from all the other standards used to establish the original calibration. These control check standards are preferably prepared from a separate lot # or source. It is the responsibility of the Area Supervisor to establish and maintain the individual schedule for each SOP and/or test method.

5.6.3.4 Transport and Storage

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 5-6-1 outlines safe handling, transport, storage and use of reference standards and reference materials in order to prevent contamination or deterioration and in order to protect their integrity.

Details:
Additional procedures may be necessary when reference standards and reference materials are used outside the permanent laboratory for tests, calibrations, or sampling.

Proper conditions are established for housing, handling, and care of reference standards, reference materials, and test organisms. Test organisms are acclimatized to the test environment for an adequate period before a test is initiated. All information needed to properly identify references appears on their housing or containers.
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5.7 Sampling

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you:

1. There must be a sampling plan and procedure
2. Appropriate records of sampling are kept
3. Deviations, additions, and exclusions from the plan or procedure are recorded
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5.7.1 Sampling Plan and Procedures

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 5-7-1 outlines the sampling plan and procedures for sampling for any laboratory sampling of substances, matrices, materials or products for subsequent testing or calibration. The sampling plan and procedures are available at the location where sampling is performed. Sampling plans are based on appropriate statistical methods whenever reasonable. The sampling process addresses the factors to be controlled to ensure validity of the test and calibration results.

Details:
Sampling is a defined procedure whereby a part of a substance, matrix, material or product is taken to provide for testing or calibration as a representative sample of the whole. Sampling can also be required by the appropriate specification for which the substance, matrix, material or product is to be tested or calibrated. In certain cases (e.g., forensic analysis), the sample may not be representative, but determined by availability.

The sampling plan describes the allocation, withdrawal and preparation of a sample or samples from a substance, matrix, material or product to yield the required information. All samples are collected and placed in sealed containers.

5.7.2 Deviations, Additions or Exclusions

Policy:
Where the customer requires deviations, additions or exclusions from the sampling procedure, these are recorded in detail with the appropriate sampling data and included in all documents containing test and/or calibration results, and communicated to the appropriate personnel.

Details:
The physical appearance and temperature of all test items is observed and recorded upon receipt. Any deviations from specifications or observations are discussed with the customer as to the suitability of the sample. Cross-contamination is the most critical issue from broken, leaking samples for both qualitative and quantitative tests.

5.7.3 Records

Policy:
The SOP# QSP 5-7-1 outlines the procedures for recording relevant data and operations relating to sampling that forms part of the testing or calibration that is undertaken. These
records include the sampling procedure used, the identification of the sampler, environmental conditions (if relevant) and any diagrams or other equivalent means to identify the sampling location as necessary, and, if appropriate, the statistics upon which the sampling procedures are based.

**Details:**
Adequate sample identification upon receipt in the laboratory includes:
- unique and unambiguous sample identification, usually a number or alphanumeric identification, retained throughout the testing life of the test item
- name of person(s) the report will be sent to
- sample source, date, and time (if available)
- identification number or description from (customer) if any
- product description
- tests desired and/or methods requested
- date and time of receipt
- delivery carrier
- sample condition, including temperature (and number of containers)
- chain of custody seals ‘intact’ (where applicable)
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5.8 Handling of Test and Calibration Items

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you to:

1. Keep samples in good condition.

Key Words

Identification
Receipt
Protection

Cross-references

ISO 17025:2005 Section 5.8
ISO 9001:2000 Section 6.3, 6.4, 7.1, 7.4.3, 7.5, 8.2.4
5.8.1 Procedures

**Policy:**
The SOP# QSP 5-8-1 outlines the procedures for the transportation, receipt, handling, protection, storage, retention and/or disposal of test and/or calibration items, including all provisions necessary to protect the integrity of the test or calibration item, and the interests of the laboratory and the customer.

**Details:**
Samples, reagents, and standards are stored so as to ensure their integrity by preventing against deterioration, contamination, and loss of identity. It is recognized that this is a general statement, but details are elaborated upon in SOP# QSP 5-8-1.

5.8.2 Identification of Test and/or Calibration Items

**Policy:**
Test and/or calibration items are systematically identified as they arrive at the laboratory. The identification is retained throughout the life of the item in the laboratory. The system is designed and operated so as to ensure that items cannot be confused physically, or when referred to in records or other documents. The system accommodates a sub-division of groups of items and the transfer of items within and from the laboratory when appropriate.

**Details:**
Sample labelling indicates the unique identification and conforms to applicable legal requirements. Where conformity of possession of a test sample must be maintained for forensic or other purposes, the laboratory establishes and documents a system for appropriate chain-of-custody (forensic samples may be used in a court of law for evidentiary purposes).

5.8.3 Receipt

**Policy:**
Upon receipt of the test or calibration item, any abnormalities or departures from normal or specified conditions, as described in the relevant test or calibration method, are recorded. When there is any doubt as to the suitability of an item for test or calibration, or when an item does not conform to the description provided, or the test or calibration required is not specified in sufficient detail, the laboratory consults the customer for further instructions before proceeding and keeps a record of the discussion.

**Details:**
Conform to applicable regulations or contractual arrangements. The condition of sample may include or relate to damage, quantity, preparation, packaging, or temperature. Preparation may include addition of chemical preservative, removal of moisture, isolation of portion of sample to be tested, homogenization, or subsampling.

Arrangements are in place to ensure that elapsed time between sampling and testing does not exceed test method specifications (holding time).

### 5.8.4 Protection

**Policy:**
The SOP# QSP 5-8-1 outlines the procedures and appropriate facilities for avoiding deterioration, loss or damage to the test or calibration item during storage, handling and preparation and testing; instructions provided with the item are followed. When items have to be stored or conditioned under specified environmental conditions, these conditions are maintained, monitored, and recorded. Where a test item is to be held secure (e.g., for reasons of record, safety or value, or to enable complementary test and/or calibrations to be performed later), the laboratory has arrangements for storage and security that protect the condition and integrity of the secured item concerned.

**Details:**
A sampling procedure and information on storage and transport of samples, including all information that may influence the test or calibration result, is provided to those responsible for taking and transporting the samples.

The laboratory establishes whether the sample has received all necessary preparation or whether the customer requires preparation to be undertaken or arranged by the laboratory. Proper requirements for packaging, environmental conditions, and separation from incompatible materials are observed. Where samples have to be stored or conditioned under specific conditions, these conditions are maintained, monitored, and recorded, where necessary.

Where a sample, or portion of a sample, is to be held secure (e.g., for reasons of record, safety, or value, or to enable check tests to be performed later), the laboratory has storage and security arrangements that protect the condition and integrity of the sample.
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5.9 Assuring the Quality of Test and Calibration Results

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you:

1. That results are monitored
2. There is a plan for monitoring
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5.9.1 Quality Control / Quality Assurance

**Policy:**
Quality control procedures are utilized to monitor the validity of test and/or calibration results. These procedures are for each test method utilized in the laboratory. The resulting data are recorded so that trends are detectable (and where practicable, statistical techniques are applied to the reviewing of the results). This monitoring is planned and reviewed and may include, but not limited to, the following:

- regular use of certified reference materials and/or internal quality control using secondary reference materials
- participation in inter-laboratory comparisons or proficiency testing programs
- replicate tests or calibrations using the same or different methods
- re-testing or re-calibration of retained items
- correlation of results for different characteristics of an item

**Details:**
The methods utilized from the above list will be appropriate for the type and volume of the work undertaken. Records are maintained of assurance activities and any actions taken.

As a guide, for routine analyses the level of internal quality control is typically 5% of the sample throughput. For more complex procedures, 20% is not unusual and on occasions even 50% may be required. For analyses performed infrequently, a full system validation is performed on each occasion. This may typically involve the use of a reference material containing a certified or known concentration of analyte, followed by replicate analyses of the sample and spiked sample. For analyses undertaken more frequently, systematic quality control procedures incorporating the use of control charts and check samples are implemented. These procedures are documented in the "Quality Control Plan" of each test method.

Internal quality control schemes using statistics include:

- design of experimental/factorial analysis
- variation/regression analysis
- safety evaluation/risk analysis
- tests of significance
- quality control charts
- statistical sampling inspection

Proficiency testing helps to highlight not only repeatability and reproducibility performance between laboratories, but also systematic errors such as bias. It is important to monitor proficiency testing results as a means of checking quality assurance and take action as necessary.
The Quality Manager maintains a list of all the current proficiency testing programs the laboratory participates in, monitors the results, and notifies the appropriate personnel of both problematic and successful results.

Technical personnel use certified reference materials (where applicable) to evaluate test performance on a daily basis and include daily process control checks. These data are used to evaluate the validity of the test results.

Replicate tests may be used if suitable reference material is available. These materials and proficiency test materials are available for improving repeatability.

Re-testing of test items is performed occasionally at the discretion of the supervisor or when test results seem anomalous.

5.9.2 Correction and Prevention

Policy and Details:
Quality control data are analyzed and, where they are found to be outside pre-defined criteria, planned action is taken to correct and to prevent incorrect results from being reported.
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5.10 Reporting of Results

The Ten Second Tutorial

This section tells you:

1. What needs to be on a report
2. How to handle amendments to reports
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5.10.1 General

Policy:
The results of each test, calibration, or series of tests or calibrations are reported accurately, clearly, unambiguously and objectively, and in accordance with any specific instructions in the test or calibration methods.

The results are reported, normally in a test report, and include all the information requested by the customer and necessary for the interpretation of the test results and all information required by the method used. This information may include what is outlined in section 5.10.2, 5.10.3 and 5.10.4.

In the case of tests or calibrations performed for internal customers, and in the case of a written agreement with the customer, the results may be reported in a simplified way. The information listed in section 5.10.2 to 5.10.4, and not reported, is kept readily available.

Details:
Test reports are issued as hard copy and electronic data transfer (upon request).

5.10.2 Test reports and calibration certificates

Policy:
Test reports include the following information, as appropriate:
- a title (e.g., “Certificate of Analysis”)
- name and address of laboratory, and location where tests were carried out if different from the address of the laboratory
- unique identification of the test report (laboratory sample number), and on each page an identification in order to ensure that the page is recognized as a part of the test report, and a clear identification of the end of the test report
- name and address of the customer
- identification of the method used
- description, condition, and unambiguous identification of the item(s) tested
- date and time of receipt of test items (where this is critical to the validity and application of the results) and date(s) of performance of the analysis
- reference to sampling procedures used by the laboratory or other bodies where these are relevant to the validity or application of the results
- test results with, where appropriate, units of measurement
- the name(s), function(s) and signature(s) or equivalent of person(s) authorizing the test report
- where relevant, a statement to the effect that the results relate only to the items tested
Details:
Signing authority for test reports is the responsibility of the Client Manager. Records for individuals with signing authority for test reports are approved by the Laboratory Director and maintained by the Quality Manager.

Hard copies of test reports include the page number and total number of pages.

Data reported to the customer contains the appropriate significant digits for each test method. Low level data are identified as being below specified limits.

5.10.3 Test Reports

5.10.3.1

Policy and Details:
In addition to the requirements listed in section 5.10.2, test reports include the following, where necessary for the interpretation of results:
- date and time of sampling
- deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test method, and information on specific test conditions, such as environmental conditions
- where relevant, a statement of compliance/non-compliance with requirements and/or specifications
- where applicable, a statement on the estimated uncertainty of measurement of the test result; information on uncertainty is needed in test reports when it is relevant to the validity or application of the test results, when a customer’s instruction so requires, or when uncertainty affects compliance to a specification limit
- where appropriate and needed opinions and interpretations (see section 5.10.5)
- additional information required by specific methods, customers, or groups of customers

5.10.3.2

Policy and Details:
In addition to the requirements listed in sections 5.10.2 and 5.10.3.1, test reports containing the results of sampling include the following, where necessary for the interpretation of test results:
- date of sampling
- unambiguous identification of substance, matrix, material or product sampled (including name of manufacturer, model or type of designation and serial numbers as appropriate)
- location of sampling, including any diagrams, sketches or photographs
- reference to sampling plan and procedures used
5.10.4 Calibration Certificates

5.10.4.1

Policy:
The testing laboratory does not issue calibration certificates. However, the laboratory often receives calibration services from a calibration laboratory and needs to be familiar with the information on a calibration certificate.

Details:
In addition to the requirements listed in 5.10.2, the calibration certificate could include the following, where necessary for the interpretation of calibration results:

- the conditions (e.g., environmental) under which the calibrations were made that have an influence on the measurement results
- the uncertainty of measurement and/or a statement of compliance with an identified metrological specification or clauses thereof
- evidence that the measurements are traceable (see 5.6.2.1.1)

5.10.4.2

Policy:
This section is not applicable to a testing laboratory.

5.10.4.3

Policy:
This section is not applicable to a testing laboratory.

5.10.4.4
Policy:
A calibration certificate (or calibration label) shall not contain any recommendation on the calibration interval except where this has been agreed with the customer or it is to be used by the laboratory itself.

5.10.5 Opinions and Interpretations

Policy:
When opinions and interpretations are included in the test report, the basis upon which the opinions and interpretations have been made is documented. Opinions and interpretations are clearly marked as such in the test report.

Note - Opinions and interpretations should not be mixed-up with inspections and product certifications as intended in ISO/IEC 17020 and ISO/IEC Guide 65.

Details:
Opinions and interpretations included in a test report may comprise, but not be limited to the following:
- opinion on conformity of the results with requirements
- fulfilment of contractual requirements
- recommendations on how to use the results
- guidance to be used for improvements

In many cases it is appropriate to communicate the opinions and interpretations by direct dialogue with the customer. This dialogue is written down.

5.10.6 Testing and Calibration Results Obtained from Subcontractors

Policy and Details:
Test reports containing the results of tests performed by subcontractors are clearly identified for the subcontracted results. The subcontractor reports the results either in writing or electronically to our laboratory.

5.10.7 Electronic Transmission of Results

Policy:
In the case of transmission of test results by telephone, telex, facsimile or other electronic or electromagnetic means, the requirements of the policies and procedures of this Quality Manual continue to apply (see also 5.4.7).

5.10.8 Format of Reports

Policy:
The format of reports is designed to accommodate each type of test carried out and to minimize the possibility of misunderstanding or misuse.

Details:
The layout of the test report is such that the presentation of the test data facilitates ease of assimilation by the reader.

The headings are standardized as far as possible.

5.10.9 Amendments to Reports

Policy:
Material amendments to a test report after issue are made only in the form of a further document, or data transfer, which includes the statement “Supplement to Test Report, serial number...[or as otherwise identified]”, or an equivalent form of wording. Such amendments meet all the requirements in this Quality Manual.

Details:
When it is necessary to issue a complete new test report, it is uniquely identified and contains a reference to the original that it replaces.
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